Game options in an imperfect market with default

Roxana Dumitrescu King's College London

Joint work with M.C.Quenez (Univ. Paris Diderot) and A.Sulem (INRIA, MATHRISK)

Bachelier Paris-Londres 30 September 2016.



- Game options: Literature/Contribution
- Framework
- Linear/Nonlinear pricing
- Nonlinear pricing of Game options
- Nonlinear pricing of Game options in the case with ambiguity.

Game options

Extend the setup of American options by allowing the seller to cancel the contract (introduced by Kifer in 2000).

- If the buyer exercises the contract at time τ , he gets ξ_{τ} from the seller
- If the seller cancels at σ before τ , then he has to pay ζ_{σ} to the buyer
- *ζ*_t − *ξ*_t ≥ 0, for all *t* represents the penalty for the seller for the cancellation of the contract.

The seller pays to the buyer the payoff $I(\tau, \sigma) := \xi_{\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq \sigma} + \zeta_{\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{\tau > \sigma}$ at the terminal time $\tau \wedge \sigma$.

Literature

In a *perfect complete market*, Kifer (2000) shows both in the CRR discrete time-model and in the Black-Scholes model (with ξ and ζ continuous), that the superhedging price is equal to the value function of a Dynkin game:

$$u_{0} = \sup_{\tau} \inf_{\sigma} \mathbf{E}_{Q}[\tilde{\xi}_{\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq \sigma} + \tilde{\zeta}_{\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{\tau > \sigma}] = \inf_{\sigma} \sup_{\tau} \mathbf{E}_{Q}[\tilde{\xi}_{\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq \sigma} + \tilde{\zeta}_{\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{\tau > \sigma}],$$

where ξ_t , ζ_t are the discounted values of ξ_t , ζ_t and \mathbf{E}_Q represents the expectation under the unique martingale probability measure Q of the market model.

Literature

Other works: on pricing of games options or more sophisticated game-type financial contracts (e.g. swing game options)

- → In the discrete time: Dolinsky and Kifer (2007), Dolinsky and al. (2011)
- → In the continuous time perfect market model with continuous payoffs - Hamadène (2006), Kifer (2013)
- → Pricing of game options in a market with default Bielecki and al. (2009)

Contribution

- Study the game options (pricing and superhedging) in the case of imperfections in the market taken into account via the nonlinearity of the wealth dynamics (in the case when there also exists the possibility of a default and the payoffs are irregular).
- Study game options under model uncertainty, in particular ambiguity on the default probability.

Model

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space equipped with

- a unidimensional standard Brownian motion W
- a jump process N defined by N_t = 1_{∂≤t} for any t ∈ [0, T], where ∂ is a r.v. which modelizes a default time. We assume that this default can appear at any time that is P(∂ > t) > 0 for any t ∈ [0, T].

We denote by $\mathbb{G} = \{\mathcal{G}_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$ the complete natural filtration of *W* and *N*. We suppose that *W* is a *G*-brownian motion. Let *M* be the compensated martingale of the process *N*:

$$M_t = N_t - \int_0^t \lambda_s ds$$
.

The process (λ_t) is called *intensity*. λ vanishes after the default time ϑ .

Financial market

• 3 assets: prices S^0 , S^1 , S^2 satisfying:

$$\left\{egin{aligned} &dS^0_t=S^0_tr_tdt\ &dS^1_t=S^1_t[\mu^1_tdt+\sigma^1_tdW_t]\ &dS^2_t=S^2_t[\mu^2_tdt+\sigma^2_tdW_t-dM_t]. \end{aligned}
ight.$$

The price process S^2 admits a discontinuity at time ϑ . All processes $\sigma^1, \sigma^2, r, \mu^1, \mu^2$ are \mathcal{G} -predictable. We set $\sigma = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2)'$. We assume $\sigma^1, \sigma^2 > 0$, and the coefficients $\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \mu^1, \mu^2, (\sigma^1)^{-1}, (\sigma^2)^{-1}$ are bounded. The interest rate *r* is lower bounded.

Financial market

- Let us consider an investor, endowed with an initial wealth *x*. At *t*, he chooses the amount φ_t^1 (resp. φ_t^2) invested S^1 (resp. S^2). φ^2 vanishes after ϑ . $\varphi_{\cdot} = (\varphi_t^1, \varphi_t^2)'$ is called *risky assets stategy*.
 - Let $V_t^{x,\varphi}$ (or V_t) = value of the portfolio.

Linear pricing

Perfect market model

$$dV_t = (r_t V_t + \varphi_t^1 \theta_t^1 \sigma_t^1 - \lambda_t \varphi_t^2 \theta_t^2) dt + \varphi_t' \sigma_t dW_t - \varphi_t^2 dM_t,$$

where $\theta_t^1 := \frac{\mu_t^1 - r_t}{\sigma_t^1}$ and $\theta_t^2 := -\frac{\mu_t^2 - \sigma_t^2 \theta_t^1 - r_t}{\lambda_t} \mathbf{1}_{t \le \vartheta}.$

Consider an European option with maturity *T* and payoff ξ . The unique solution (*X*, *Z*, *K*) of the **linear BSDE** with default:

$$-dX_t = \underbrace{-(r_tX_t + (Z_t + \sigma_t^2K_t)\theta_t^1 + K_t\theta_t^2\lambda_t)}_{g(t,y,z,k) = -(r_ty + (z + \sigma_t^2k\mathbf{1}_{t \le \vartheta}))\theta_t^1 + \theta_t^2\lambda_t k} dt - Z_t dW_t - K_t dM_t; X_T = \xi.$$

provides the replicating portfolio. The heging strategy φ is such that

$$\varphi_t'\sigma_t=Z_t; \ \varphi_t^2=-K_t.$$

This defines a change of variables $\Phi(Z, K) := (\varphi^1, \varphi^2)$.

Nonlinear pricing

The imperfect market model \mathcal{M}^g

The imperfections in the market are taken into account via the *nonlinearity* of the dynamics of the wealth $V_t^{x,\phi}$:

$$-dV_t = g(t, V_t, \varphi_t' \sigma_t, \varphi_t^2) dt + \varphi_t' \sigma_t dW_t - \varphi_t^2 dM_t,$$

Consider an European option with maturity $S \in [0, T]$ and terminal payoff ξ . The unique solution (X, Z, K) of the **nonlinear BSDE** with default

$$-dX_t = g(t, X_t, Z_t, K_t)dt - Z_t dW_t - K_t dM_t, \ X_S = \xi.$$

gives the hedging price (*X*) and the hedging strategy $(\varphi^1, \varphi^2) := \Phi(Z, K)$. *This leads to a nonlinear pricing system (introduced by El Karoui-Quenez), denoted by* $\mathcal{E}^g : \forall S \in [0, T], \forall \xi \in L_2$

$$\mathcal{E}^{\boldsymbol{g}}_{t,\mathcal{S}}[\xi] := X_t(\mathcal{S},\xi), \ t \in [0,\mathcal{S}].$$

Nonlinear pricing

The imperfect market model \mathcal{M}^g

Examples of imperfections:

- Different borrowing and lending interest rates R_t and r_t with $R_t \ge r_t$. $g(t, V_t, \varphi_t \sigma_t, -\varphi_t^2) = -(r_t V_t + \varphi_t^1 \theta_t^1 \sigma_t^1 - \varphi_t^2 \lambda_t \theta_t^2) + (R_t - r_t)(V_t - \varphi_t^1 - \varphi_t^2)^{-1}$
- Large investor whose trading strategy φ_t impacts the market prices: $r_t(\omega) = \overline{r}(t, \omega, \varphi_t)$ and similarly for $\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \theta^1, \theta^2$.

$$g(t, V_t, \varphi_t \sigma_t, -\varphi_t^2) = -\bar{r}(t, \varphi_t) V_t - \varphi_t^1 (\bar{\theta}^1 \bar{\sigma}^1)(t, \varphi_t) + \varphi_t^2 \lambda_t \bar{\theta}^2(t, \varphi_t).$$

Nonlinear pricing and hedging

The imperfect market model \mathcal{M}^g

Definition [Driver, λ -admissible driver]

- A function g is said to be a *driver* if g : [0, T] × Ω × ℝ³ → ℝ; (ω, t, y, z, k) → g(ω, t, y, z, k) is P ⊗ B(ℝ³) – measurable, and g(.,0,0,0) ∈ ℍ₂.
- A driver g is called a λ-admissible driver if moreover there exists a constant C ≥ 0 such that dP ⊗ dt-a.s.,

for each (y, z, k), (y_1, z_1, k_1) , (y_2, z_2, k_2) ,

 $|g(\omega, t, y, z_1, k_1) - g(\omega, t, y, z_2, k_2)| \le C(|z_1 - z_2| + \sqrt{\lambda_t}|k_1 - k_2|),$ and

$$(g(\omega, t, y_1, z, k) - g(\omega, t, y_2, z, k))(y_1 - y_2) \le C|y_1 - y_2|^2.$$

The positive real *C* is called the λ -constant associated with driver *g*.

Definition 1: For each initial wealth *x*, a **super-hedge** against the game option is a pair (σ, φ) of a s.t. $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ and a strategy φ such that

 $V_t^{x,\varphi} \ge \xi_t, 0 \le t \le \sigma \text{ and } V_{\sigma}^{x,\varphi} \ge \zeta_{\sigma} \text{ a.s.}$ (Kifer 2000)

A(x) := set of all super-hedges associated with x.

Definition 2: Define

$$u_0 := \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists (\sigma, \varphi) \in \mathcal{A}(x)\}.$$

- if inf is attained $\mapsto u_0$ is a super-hedging price.
- if inf is not attained → u₀ is a "nearly" super-hedging price.

Definition 3: A *natural price* for the seller of the game option is the *g*-value defined by

$$Y(0) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}^{g}[I(\tau, \sigma)],$$

where $I(\tau, \sigma) := \xi_{\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq \sigma} + \zeta \mathbf{1}_{\sigma < \tau}$.

Aim

- Characterization of the superhedging price
- Characterization of the superhedging strategy

Main mathematical tool

Let ξ and ζ such that $\xi_t \leq \zeta_t$, $\xi_T = \zeta_T$ a.s. and satisfying the Mokobodzki's condition.

Definition (DRBSDE(g, ξ, ζ))

$$\begin{aligned} -dY_t &= g(t, Y_t, Z_t, k_t)dt + dA_t - dA'_t - Z_t dW_t - K_t dM_t \\ Y_T &= \xi_T, \\ \xi_t &\leq Y_t \leq \zeta_t, \ 0 \leq t \leq T \text{ a.s.}, \end{aligned}$$

A and A' are nondecreasing RCLL predictable processes with $A_0 = 0, A'_0 = 0$ and such that $\begin{cases} \int_0^T (Y_{t^-} - \xi_{t^-}) dA_t = 0 \text{ a.s. and } \int_0^T (\zeta_{t^-} - Y_{t^-}) dA'_t = 0 \text{ a.s.} \\ dA_t \perp dA'_t. \end{cases}$

Case I: ζ is left lower-s.c. along stopping times

Theorem (Characterization)

• The superhedging price $u_0 = g$ -value of the game option, i.e.

$$u_{0} = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}^{g}[I(\tau,\sigma)] = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}^{g}[I(\tau,\sigma)]$$

• Let (Y, Z, K, A, A') be the solution of the DRBSDE (g, ξ, ζ) . We have $u_0 = Y_0$. Let $\sigma^* := \inf\{t \ge 0, Y_t = \zeta_t\}$ and $\varphi^* := \Phi(Z, K)$. Then, (σ^*, φ^*) is a superhedge.

Main step in the proof : Links between DRBSDEs and Generalized Dynkin Game (Dum.-Quenez-Sulem, EJP(2016)).

If *Y* denotes the solution of the DRBSDE(g, ξ, ζ), we have:

$$Y_{0} = \underbrace{\inf_{\sigma} \sup_{\tau} \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}^{g}[I(\tau,\sigma)]}_{\text{Value Generalized Dynkin Game}} \sup_{\sigma} \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}^{g}[I(\tau,\sigma)].$$

In other words, the solution of the doubly reflected BSDE corresponds to the *value function of an optimal stopping game with nonlinear expectation (Generalized Dynkin Game).*

Remark : There **does not a priori exist** τ^* such that (τ^*, σ^*) is a saddle point for the game problem.

Case II: ξ and ζ are only RCLL processes

When ζ is only RCLL, there does **not** necessarily exist a *super-hedge* against the option.

Theorem

The "nearly" superhedging price $u_0 = g$ -value of the game option, i.e.

$$u_{0} = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}^{g}_{0, \tau \wedge \sigma}[I(\tau, \sigma)] = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}^{g}_{0, \tau \wedge \sigma}[I(\tau, \sigma)]$$

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\sigma_{\varepsilon} := \inf\{t \ge 0 : Y_t \ge \zeta_t - \varepsilon\}$. Let us consider the risky assets strategy $\varphi^* := \Phi(Z, K)$. We have

$$V_t^{Y_0, \varphi^*} \geq \xi_t, \ 0 \leq t \leq \sigma_{\varepsilon} \ ext{ a.s.} \ ext{ and } \ V_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}^{Y_0, \varphi^*} \geq \zeta_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} - \varepsilon \ ext{ a.s.}$$

In other terms, the pair $(\sigma_{\varepsilon}, \varphi^*)$ is an ε -super-hedge for the initial capital amount Y₂

- Let $G : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times U \to \mathbb{R}$; $(t, \omega, z, k, u) \mapsto G(t, \omega, y, z, k, u)$, be a given measurable function (satisfying "good" assumptions).
- For each $u \in U$, the associated driver is given by $g^{u}(t, \omega, y, z, k) := G(t, \omega, y, z, k, u_{t}(\omega)).$
- To each ambiguity parameter *u*, corresponds a market model *M_u* where the wealth process *V^{u,x,φ}* satisfies

$$-dV_t^{u,x,\varphi} = G(t, V_t^{u,x,\varphi}, \varphi_t \sigma_t, -\varphi_t^2, u_t)dt - \varphi_t \sigma_t dW_t - \varphi_t^2 dM_t;$$
$$V_0^{u,x,\varphi} = x.$$

- In the market model M_u, the nonlinear pricing system is given by E^{g^u} := {E^{g^u}_{t,S}, S ∈ [0, T], t ∈ [0, S]}.
- For each u ∈ U, we denote by Y^u(0) the g-value of the game option in the market model M_u. It is equal to Y^u₀, where (Y^u, Z^u, K^u, A^u, A^{'u}) is the unique solution of the DRBSDE(g^u, ξ, ζ).

The seller being adverse to ambiguity, a *natural value* price of the game option, called *g*-value, is

$$Y(0) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}^{g^{u}}_{0, \tau \wedge \sigma}[I(\tau, \sigma)].$$

Definition 1: For each initial wealth *x*, a **super-hedge** against the game option is a pair (σ, φ) of a s.t. $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ and a portfolio strategy φ such that **for each** $u \in \mathcal{U}$, $V_t^{u,x,\varphi} \ge \xi_t$, $0 \le t \le \sigma$ and $V_{\sigma}^{u,x,\varphi} \ge \zeta_{\sigma}$ a.s.

A(x) := set of all super-hedges associated with x.

Definition 2: Define

$$u_0 := \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists (\sigma, \varphi) \in \mathcal{A}(x)\}.$$

- if inf is attained $\mapsto u_0$ is a super-hedging price.
- if inf is not attained → u₀ is a "nearly" super-hedging price.

Particular case: ζ is lower s.c. along stopping times

Theorem (Characterization)

The superhedging price u_0 of the game option coincides with the *g*-value of the game option, that is

$$u_0 = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}_{0, \tau \wedge \sigma}^{g^u} [I(\tau, \sigma)].$$

Let (Y, Z, K, A, A') be the solution of the DRBSDE (g, ξ, ζ) , where

$$g(t,\omega,y,z,k) := \sup_{u\in U} g^u(t,\omega,y,z,k).$$

We have $u_0 = Y_0$. Let $\sigma^* := \inf\{t \ge 0, Y_t = \zeta_t\}$ and $\varphi^* := \Phi(Z, K)$. The pair (σ^*, φ^*) is a super-hedge.

Proof: A **key point** is to identify the g-value to the solution Y of the DRBSDE(g, ξ , ζ).

- 1. Optimization principle with BSDEs
 - $\sup_{u} \sup_{\tau} \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau\wedge\sigma}^{g^{u}}[I(\tau,\sigma)] = \sup_{\tau} \sup_{u} \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau\wedge\sigma}^{g^{u}}[I(\tau,\sigma)] = \sup_{\tau} \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau\wedge\sigma}^{g}[I(\tau,\sigma)].$

We get

$$\inf_{\sigma} \sup_{u} \sup_{\tau} \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau\wedge\sigma}^{g^{u}}[I(\tau,\sigma)] = \inf_{\sigma} \sup_{\tau} \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau\wedge\sigma}^{g}[I(\tau,\sigma)].$$

2. Links between DRBSDEs and Generalized Dynkin Game (Dum.-Quenez-Sulem, EJP(2016)).

$$\inf_{\sigma} \sup_{\tau} \mathcal{E}^{g}_{0,\tau\wedge\sigma}[I(\tau,\sigma)] = Y_{0}.$$

Theorem (Interchange inf – sup)

We have the following equalities:

$$\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}_{0, \tau \wedge \sigma}^{g^{u}}[I(\tau, \sigma)] = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}_{0, \tau \wedge \sigma}^{g^{u}}[I(\tau, \sigma)]$$
$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}_{0, \tau \wedge \sigma}^{g^{u}}[I(\tau, \sigma)].$$

Financial interpretation:

The superhedging price of the game option in the case with ambiguity coincides with the supremum over $u \in \mathcal{U}$ of the (superhedging) prices Y_0^u corresponding to the market models \mathcal{M}_u .

When *U* is compact, there exists an optimal $u^* \mapsto worst$ case scenario.

Main idea of the proof:

- In order to show equality (1): we establish an optimization principle for DRBSDEs (using a measurable selection theorem).
- Equality (2) is obtained using the Generalized Dynkin Games.

General case: ξ and ζ are only RCLL processes

When ζ is only RCLL, there does **not** necessarily exist a *super-hedge* against the option.

Theorem

The g-value of the game option coincides with the "nearly" superhedging price, that is $Y_0 = u_0$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\sigma_{\varepsilon} := \inf\{t \ge 0 : Y_t \ge \zeta_t - \varepsilon\}$. Let us consider the risky assets strategy $\varphi^* := \Phi(Z, K)$. The pair $(\sigma_{\varepsilon}, \varphi^*)$ is an ε -super-hedge for the seller.

Example with ambiguity on the default probability. Suppose that *G* is defined by:

$$G(t, \omega, u, y, z, k) = \beta(t, \omega, u)z + \gamma(t, \omega, u)k + f(t, \omega, z, k),$$

with β , γ bounded. Let Q^u be the probability measure which admits Z_T^u as density with respect to P, where (Z_t^u) is the solution of the following SDE:

$$dZ_t^u = Z_t^u[\beta(t, \boldsymbol{u_t})dW_t + \gamma(t, \boldsymbol{u_t})dM_t]; \quad Z_0^u = 1.$$

Under Q^u , $W_t^u := W_t - \int_0^t \beta(s, u_s) ds$ is a Brownian motion and $M_t^u := M_t - \int_0^t \lambda_s (1 + \gamma(s, u_s)) ds$ is a martingale independent of W^u .

For each $u \in U$, the market model \mathcal{M}_u can be seen as a market model associated with *a probability* Q^u , where the dynamics of the wealth process can be written

$$-dV_t = f(t, V_t, Z_t, K_t)dt - Z_t dW_t^u - K_t dM_t^u.$$

The g^u -evaluation of an option with maturity S and payoff $\xi \in L^p(\mathcal{F}_S)$ with p > 2, can be written

$$\mathcal{E}^{u}_{0,S}(\xi) = \mathcal{E}^{f}_{Q^{u},0,S}(\xi).$$

The nonlinear price system in the market model M_u is the *f*-evaluation under the *probability measure* Q^u .

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!